

Here you should summarise the aspects of the application you agree and/or disagree with and say why. Outline what information, local knowledge or evidence you have which supports this. Please aim to limit this section to no more than 500 words (please use extra paper if needed).

Date 30.9.18.

MAN-076.

My main concern about the plans for Manston Airport to become a freight hub are the noise levels that this will inflict upon the surrounding area. The worst case scenario includes up to 56 flights per night. I think this is unacceptable in a residential area and will have a significant impact upon people's health. There is a human health cost arising from noise pollution and greenhouse gas emissions. East Kent's population is older than average and likely to be disproportionately affected by increased air pollution. The viability of this airport depends upon night flights and this will affect the health of hundreds of thousands of people living in East Kent.

M2, M20 and M25 simply cannot cope with the heavy increase in freight on these roads. It will lead to more congestion and chaos on the roads which are already overburdened including the Dartford Tunnel. This will seriously affect anyone who regularly travels to London. Manston is in the wrong place, located at the end of a peninsula. It is unlikely that Manston could ever become a commercially viable operation, based upon past history.

The applicant (RSP) should not proceed without access to sufficient funding to acquire the land should they be successful.

Adverse effects on community health from air quality impacts need to be given greater weight against claimed economic benefits of the proposed development.

Please check that you have completed all relevant sections, otherwise you may not be able to take part in the later stages of the examination.

Please sign your form, print your name and give today's date, below:

Signature

CATHERINE HANDLEY.

30.9.18.

The Planning Act 2008 process is primarily a written *examination* process and if you are registered as an *interested party* you will have an opportunity, later in the *examination* process, to provide a more detailed *written representation*.

You cannot reserve a right to make a *representation* later without providing a summary of the points you intend to submit at this stage.

Your *representation* should take the form of a summary of what you agree with and/or disagree with in the *application*, and any impacts you think it would have.

Please note that your *representation* **must not** include material that is,

- vexatious or frivolous
- about compensation for compulsory acquisition of land or of any *interest* in or right over land

MAN-076

- ① Noise pollution resulting from up to 56 flights per night (worst case scenario), and the impact upon human health from noise pollution and greenhouse gas emissions.
- ② Manston is in the wrong place located at the end of a peninsula. Our infrastructure including the M2, M20, M25 and Dartford Tunnel cannot cope with the heavy increase in freight on these roads which are already overburdened.
- ③ The project should not proceed without the applicant (LSP) demonstrating that they have access to sufficient funding to acquire the land should they be successful.
- ④ Address effects on community health from air quality impacts need to be given full weight against claimed economic benefits of the proposed development.

... about the merits of policy set out in a national policy statement.

The information you include in the *representation* section of this form will be used by the *Examining Authority* to carry out its initial assessment of the principle issues and to decide the best way to examine the *application*.